Effect of substitution of In for Co on magnetostructural coupling and magnetocaloric effect in MnCo$_{1-x}$In$_x$Ge compounds


Citation: Journal of Applied Physics 115, 17A911 (2014); doi: 10.1063/1.4863255
View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4863255
View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jap/115/17?ver=pdfcov
Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in
Phase diagram and magnetocaloric effects in Ni$_50$Mn$_{35}$(In$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$)$_{15}$ and (Mn$_{1-x}$Cr$_x$)NiGe$_{1.05}$ alloys

Large reversible entropy change at the inverse magnetocaloric effect in Ni-Co-Mn-Ga-In magnetic shape memory alloys

Large magnetocaloric effects over a wide temperature range in MnCo$_{1-x}$ZnxGe

Giant magnetocaloric effects near room temperature in Mn$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$CoGe

Magnetoresistance and magnetocaloric properties involving strong metamagnetic behavior in Fe-doped Ni$_{45}$(Co$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$)5Mn36.6In13.4 alloys
Effect of substitution of In for Co on magnetostructural coupling and magnetocaloric effect in MnCo$_{1-x}$In$_x$Ge compounds


Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics and State Key Laboratory of Magnetism, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People’s Republic of China

(Received 22 September 2013; accepted 28 October 2013; published online 28 January 2014)

Effect of replacement of Co by In with larger atomic radius but fewer valence numbers on magnetostructural coupling and magnetocaloric effect is studied in MnCo$_{1-x}$In$_x$Ge compounds. The substitution of Co by a small amount of In (1.5\%–2\%) can shift martensitic transformation $T_{stru}$ to lower temperature and make it overlap with Curie temperature $T_C$. As a result, magnetostructural coupling is created and large entropy change ($\Delta S$) takes place. Further increasing In content to $x = 0.03$ leads to decoupling, but the martensitic transition ($T_{stru}$ $\sim$ 249 K) is still close to the magnetic transition ($T_{c}^{A}$ $\sim$ 269 K). As a result, two close $\Delta S$ peaks appear. Mechanism related to different large entropy change in the coupled and decoupled samples are discussed. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4863255]

An increasing attention has been attracted to magnetic refrigeration technique, based on magnetocaloric effect (MCE), due to its superiority over the gas refrigeration on energy saving and environmental concerns. The materials with first-order magnetic phase transition, such as Gd$_5$(Si,Ge)$_4$, due to its superiority over the gas refrigeration on energy saving and environmental concerns. The materials with first-order magnetic phase transition, such as Gd$_5$(Si,Ge)$_4$, have been discovered to exhibit great magnetocaloric effect (MCE). Usually, “magnetoelastic” phase transition refers to the one where crystal symmetry also changes. The discontinuous characteristics during the transitions lead to high entropy change, $\Delta S_M$.

To realize magnetoelastic or magnetostructural coupling is an effective way to achieve large MCE. One knows that magnetic and crystallographic phase transitions are sensitive to both chemical and physical pressures. It has been reported that introducing physical hydrostatic pressure in MnCoGe-based system can effectively tune martensitic transformation temperature, leading to a magnetostructural coupling and a giant MCE. On the other hand, introducing atomic substitutions with different radius and valence electron number, the so-called chemical pressure, can also affect magnetic interactions and crystallographic stability. For the optimized cases, the separate magnetic and crystallographic phase transitions can be tuned to coincide with each other, and magnetoelastic or magnetostructural couplings can be created.

The stoichiometric MnCoGe alloy shows ferromagnetic properties with Curie temperature $T_C$ $\sim$ 345 K and undergoes martensitic structural transition from Ni$_2$In-type hexagonal structure (space group P6$_3$/mmc) to TiNiSi-type orthorhombic structure (space group Pnma) at a higher temperature $T_{stru}$ $\sim$ 420 K. Due to different crystal symmetry, the hexagonal and orthorhombic states show quite different ferromagnetic properties. The $T_C$ and magnetic saturation moments $M_s$ in the orthorhombic structure ($T_{stru}$ $\sim$ 345 K and $M_s = 4.13 \mu_B$) are higher than those in the hexagonal structure ($T_C^{A}$ $\sim$ 283 K and $M_s = 2.76 \mu_B$) noting the Mn–Mn distance in the latter is shorter than that in the former. For the MnCoGe-based materials, magnetic moment is mainly confined on Mn and Co atoms. Detailed investigations revealed that introducing chemical pressure in different ways, such as introducing atomic doping with different radius and valence electron number on magnetic positions (Mn, Co) or nonmagnetic positions (Ge), will produce different results. Moreover, the effect of hydrostatic pressure on coupled and uncoupled MnCoGe-based system is also not the same. For coupled systems, hydrostatic pressure as a clean way can push the magnetostructural transition temperature to lower temperature, but shift $T_C$ to higher temperature for the uncoupled second-order ferromagnetic systems.

The present work aims to investigate the substitution of In for Co in MnCo$_{1-x}$In$_x$Ge systems. In atom (2.00 Å) has a larger radius than Co (1.67 Å). Our investigations indicate that a little replacement of In for Co ($x = 0.015, 0.02$) can tune martensitic structural transition $T_{stru}$ and make it coincide with $T_C$, thus a magnetostructural coupling appears, and a large MCE takes place. More In concentration leads to decoupling of $T_{stru}$ and $T_C$.

MnCo$_{1-x}$In$_x$Ge ($x = 0.015, 0.02, 0.03$, and 0.04) alloys were prepared by repeatedly arc-melting appropriate amounts of starting materials in high-purity argon atmosphere (99.996\%) with a base pressure of 10$^{-4}$ Pa. The commercial purities of Mn, Co, In, and Ge are 99.9 wt%, 99.95 wt%, 99.99 wt%, and 99.999 wt%, respectively. The obtained ingots were each wrapped with Mo foil and subsequently homogenized in a sealed quartz tube with a high vacuum of 10$^{-3}$ Pa at 875 K for 6 days, then cooled down to room temperature in...
oven. Samples for measurements were cut from the central parts of each ingot. All magnetic measurements were performed using a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID-VSM).

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was carried out using Cu K\(\alpha\) radiation to identify crystal structure. Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns collected at room temperature. For samples \(\text{MnCo}_{1-x}\text{In}_x\text{Ge}\) \((x=0.015, 0.02, 0.03, \) and \(0.04)\) measured at room temperature. The vertical black and red bars represent the Bragg reflection positions of orthorhombic and hexagonal structure, respectively.

![Fig. 1. The powder XRD patterns of MnCo\(_{1-x}\)In\(_x\)Ge (x = 0.015, 0.02, 0.03, and 0.04) measured at room temperature. The vertical black and red bars represent the Bragg reflection positions of orthorhombic and hexagonal structure, respectively.](image)

The gradual \(T_{\text{stru}}\) should locate below room temperature. The gradual \(T_{\text{stru}}\) is close to but not the martensitic transition \((T_{\text{stru}})\) with more In content, \(x = 0.015, 0.02, 0.03, \) and \(0.04)\) measured at room temperature. The vertical black and red bars represent the Bragg reflection positions of orthorhombic and hexagonal structure, respectively.

Values electron concentration \(e/a\) ratio also increase. Generally, unit cell volume increases by 3%–4% along the martensitic transformation from paramagnetic hexagonal to ferromagnetic orthorhombic phases for MnMX family. This fact prompts us to think that the introduction of smaller atoms will stabilize hexagonal phases and shift \(T_{\text{stru}}\) to lower temperature. For example, the replacement of Mn by smaller atoms Cr in \(\text{Mn}\_1\text{Cr}_x\text{CoGe}\) \((\text{Ref. 10})\) or Co by V in \(\text{MnCo}_{1-x}\text{V}_x\text{Ge}\) \((\text{Ref. 16})\) can make a lower \(T_{\text{stru}}\) and create magnetic-structural coupling. However, the change of local environments due to smaller atoms is not the sole role to affect \(T_{\text{stru}}\). Valence electron concentration \(e/a\) ratio also plays an important role, and the reduction of \(e/a\) usually make \(T_{\text{stru}}\) decrease.17 We notice the valence electron number of In atom \((5\text{s}^25\text{p}^1)\) is fewer than that of Co \((3\text{d}^74\text{s}^2)\), thus the monotonous decrease of \(T_{\text{stru}}\) with increasing the substitution of In for Co is competitive result of the change of \(e/a\) and local environments.

![Fig. 2. The M-T curves measured under a magnetic field of 500 Oe for MnCo\(_{1-x}\)In\(_x\)Ge (x = 0.015, 0.02, 0.03, and 0.04). Black arrows indicate the cooling/warming paths.](image)

Through magnetic measurements, we investigated magnetic entropy change for samples \(x = 0.015, 0.02, 0.03, \) and \(0.04)\) measured at room temperature. The vertical black and red bars represent the Bragg reflection positions of orthorhombic and hexagonal structure, respectively. The pronounced hysteresis behaviors evidence the first-order nature of transition involving magnetic-structural coupling \((T_{\text{stru}} = T_C)\), thus a large MCE can be expected. Here, the transition temperature is defined as the one at which the largest slope occurs on heating (ZFC mode). Further increasing In content to \(x = 0.03\) leads to decoupling of \(T_{\text{stru}}\) and \(T_C\), but surprisingly the martensitic transition \((T_{\text{stru}} \sim 249 \text{K})\) with hysteresis about 15 K is close to \(T_C\) of austenitic phase \((\sim 269 \text{K})\), indicating that the magnetic-structural coupling is just decoupling. Continuously increasing In content to \(x = 0.04, T_{\text{stru}}\) is nearly missing, and the \(T_C\) of austenitic phase slightly shifts to lower temperature \((\sim 260 \text{K})\). For all samples, the \(T_{\text{stru}}\) shows monotonous drop with increasing In substitution, consistent with the observations from XRD measurements discussed above.

Generally, unit cell volume increases by 3%–4% along the martensitic transformation from paramagnetic hexagonal to ferromagnetic orthorhombic phases for MnMX family. This fact prompts us to think that the introduction of smaller atoms will stabilize hexagonal phases and shift \(T_{\text{stru}}\) to lower temperature. For example, the replacement of Mn by smaller atoms Cr in \(\text{Mn}\_1\text{Cr}_x\text{CoGe}\) \((\text{Ref. 10})\) or Co by V in \(\text{MnCo}_{1-x}\text{V}_x\text{Ge}\) \((\text{Ref. 16})\) can make a lower \(T_{\text{stru}}\) and create magnetic-structural coupling. However, the change of local environments due to smaller atoms is not the sole role to affect \(T_{\text{stru}}\). Valence electron concentration \(e/a\) ratio also plays an important role, and the reduction of \(e/a\) usually make \(T_{\text{stru}}\) decrease.17 We notice the valence electron number of In atom \((5\text{s}^25\text{p}^1)\) is fewer than that of Co \((3\text{d}^74\text{s}^2)\), thus the monotonous decrease of \(T_{\text{stru}}\) with increasing the substitution of In for Co is competitive result of the change of \(e/a\) and local environments.
Actually, this is a common feature particularly for the MnCoGe-based alloys,\textsuperscript{10,17} also for NiMnSn.\textsuperscript{7} A recent study carried out by Caron \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{10} revealed that hydrostatic pressure can tune the MCE effect for similar system Mn\textsubscript{0.93}Cr\textsubscript{0.07}CoGe though field-induced metamagnetic behavior is none or very weak. It was suggested that the driving force during the magnetostructural transition is the crystallographic transition, and the magnetic transition occurs cooperatively. Such behavior is different from the most of MCE materials with first-order magnetoelastic or magnetostructural transitions, where both crystallographic change caused by hydrostatic/chemical pressure and magnetic field can drive the transitions. The examples include the well-known materials GdSiGe\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{18}, MnFePAs\textsuperscript{19}, La(Fe, Si)\textsubscript{13}\textsuperscript{20} and also NiMnIn systems.\textsuperscript{8,9} For these materials, it is hard to choose which one of the crystallographic or field changes acts the driving force and the other acts cooperatively. For the extremes, such as MnCoGe-based alloys,\textsuperscript{10} NiMn-based Heusler alloys (NiMnSn,\textsuperscript{7} NiMnGa\textsuperscript{6}), where the magnetostructural transition is insensitive to magnetic field, the entropy change usually appears in a narrow and high peak but shifts little upon magnetic field.

Based on the magnetization data shown in Figs. 3(a)–3(c), we calculated entropy change using Maxwell relation $\Delta S(T, H) = \mu_0 \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial T} \right)_{\text{mag}} dH$.\textsuperscript{1,6,21} The results are shown in Figs. 3(d)–3(f) for the corresponding samples. For the coupled samples $x = 0.015$, 0.02, the entropy change ($\Delta S$) peaks at the magnetostructural transition temperature, 330 K, 324 K, and the maximal magnitude for a field change of 0–5T reaches 41.4 J/kgK, 19.6 J/kgK, respectively. The different $\Delta S$ magnitude might be related to the different strength of spin-lattice coupling in the two coupled samples. We can note that the thermal hysteresis (10K) of sample $x = 0.02$ is narrower than that (19K) of $x = 0.015$, indicating the energy barrier in between paramagnetic austenitic and ferromagnetic martensitic phases becomes lower and the first-order nature of the transition becomes weaker for sample $x = 0.02$ compared to $x = 0.015$. For the just decoupled sample, $x = 0.03$, the two close transitions at $T_{\text{stru}}$ (249 K) and $T_{C}^A$ (269 K) lead to the appearance of two close $\Delta S$ peaks. The maximal $\Delta S$ magnitude for a field change of 0–5T is 17.7 J/kgK, 3.7 J/kgK, corresponding to the first-order martensitic transition at $T_{\text{stru}}$ and second-order magnetic transition at $T_{C}^A$, respectively. The further smaller $\Delta S$ magnitude involving $T_{\text{stru}}$ in sample $x = 0.03$ may be related to the decoupling of crystallographic and magnetic transitions.

![Magnetization isotherms of MnCo1-xInxGe](image)

FIG. 3. Magnetization isotherms of MnCo\textsubscript{1-x}In\textsubscript{x}Ge for (a) $x = 0.015$, (b) $x = 0.02$, and (c) $x = 0.03$ at representative temperatures. Arrows indicate the paths of field change. Magnetic entropy change $\Delta S$ as a function of temperature under different magnetic fields for (d) $x = 0.015$, (e) $x = 0.02$, and (f) $x = 0.03$.
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