Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright

Journal of Alloys and Compounds 509 (2011) 5804-5809

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Alloys and Compounds



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jallcom

Influence of interstitial and substitutional atoms on the crystal structure of $La(FeSi)_{13}$

L. Jia, J.R. Sun*, J. Shen, B. Gao, T.Y. Zhao, H.W. Zhang, F.X. Hu, B.G. Shen

State Key Laboratory for Magnetism, Institute of physics & Center for Condensed Matter Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 December 2010 Received in revised form 20 February 2011 Accepted 22 February 2011 Available online 1 March 2011

PACS: 75.30.Sg 65.40.Gr 75.30.Kz

Keywords: Substitution Lattice expansion Interstitial atoms Fe–Fe bond

1. Introduction

Recently, giant magnetocaloric effect (MCE) has been observed in the materials such as $Gd_5(Si_xGe_{1-x})_4$ [1], $La(Fe, M)_{13}$ (M = Si, Al, Co) [2–6], MnAs [7] and MnFeP_{1-x}As_x [8], which experience a firstorder phase transition. The typical entropy change for the field change of 0–5 T is ~20 J/kgK for $LaFe_{13-x}M_x$ (M = Si, Co) [2,3,6] near the ambient temperature. This is a value nearly double that of Gd (~10 J/kg K), which has been regarded as the most promising refrigerant for room-temperature magnetic cooling. These discoveries demonstrate a possibility to apply the magnetic cooling technique, which has significant advantages over the conventional refrigeration technique, near the ambient temperature [9].

Among the MCE materials, LaFe_{13-x}Si_x is of special interest. In addition to giant MCE, the most remarkable feature of LaFe_{13-x}Si_x is the strong dependence of its Curie temperature (T_C) on element doping or external perturbations such as magnetic field and pressure. It has been reported that a pressure of 1 GPa reduces T_C by ~100 K [10], whereas a magnetic field of 5 T upwards shifts T_C by ~25 K [11], as a result of the field-induced itinerant-electron metamagnetic transition. Compared with magnetic field and pressure, effects of interstitial atoms such as hydrogen and carbon

ABSTRACT

The influence of interstitial hydrogen or carbon on the crystal structure of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{δ} (δ = 0, 1.2, and 2) and LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}C_{δ} (δ = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5) has been investigated based on the Rietveld analyses of powder X-ray diffraction spectra. Effects of Ce substitution for La are also studied for comparison. The incorporation of interstitial atoms causes a lattice expansion of the compounds while leaves the structural symmetry unchanged. Accompanying the lattice expansion, Fe–Fe bond exhibits a concomitant variation. Four of the five Fe–Fe bonds show a tendency to expansion. The largest elongation occurs for the shortest inter-cluster bond, and the relative change is as large as ~2.53% as δ increases from 0 to 2 for LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{δ}. In contrast, the longest Fe–Fe bond shrinks considerably (-0.97%). Similarly effects on Fe–Fe bonds are produced by the paramagnetic to ferromagnetic transition, though the bond variation is smaller. Increase in Ce content produces, fascinatingly, essentially the same effects as the decrease of interstitial atoms. Influence of interstitial atoms on magnetic behaviors may be dominated by the change of the shortest Fe–Fe bond.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

are much stronger, and the incorporation of δ = 1.5 hydrogen in LaFe_{11.44}Si_{1.56}H_{δ} can lift *T*_C by ~130 K [11,12]. What is of special interest is that the phase transition of the hydride remains to be first-order in nature despite the great increase of *T*_C [11–13]. This is in sharp contrast to the effect of Si or Co doping, which increases Curie temperature while driving the transition from first order to second order [14–16]. In addition to this, La(Fe, M)₁₃ also exhibits diverse magnetic structures such as antiferromagnetic (AFM) order and spin glass-like structure when Fe is partially replaced by Al[17] and Mn [18], respectively. All these results reveal the complexity of the mechanism that governs the magnetic coupling in this kind of compounds.

It has been reported that the magnetic interaction in RE–Febased compounds (RE=rare earth) is predominantly determined by Fe–Fe and RE–Fe interactions [19]. A relation between the Fe–Fe exchange integral and the Fe–Fe separation has been established by Li and Morrish for the Sm₂Fe₁₇N_{δ} compound based on the analyses of Mössbauer spectra [20]. It was found that the magnetic interaction is AFM when the Fe–Fe distance is smaller than ~2.45 Å, while ferromagnetic (FM) above ~2.45 Å. A remarkable result is the crucial dependence of Fe–Fe exchange on atomic separation: The exchange integral is negative and grows steeply as the Fe–Fe distance approaches 2.45 Å from below. This actually implies the possibility to modify the Curie temperature by tuning Fe–Fe distance.

Compared with $Sm_2Fe_{17}N_{\delta}$, the exchange interaction in $LaFe_{13-x}Si_x$ exists mainly between Fe atoms [21]. The Fe–Fe inter-

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 10 82648075; fax: +86 10 82649485. *E-mail address*: jrsun@g203.iphy.ac.cn (J.R. Sun).

^{0925-8388/\$ –} see front matter ${\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}$ 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2011.02.124

action could depend crucially on Fe-Fe distance as occurred in $Sm_2Fe_{17}N_{\delta}$. External disturbances such as interstitial atom and high pressure affect the magnetic behaviors by modifying Fe-Fe bonds. Although the atomic structure of $LaFe_{13-x}Si_x$ with different Si content and/or interstitial H atoms has been studied before [22], there are no systematical reports about the structure deformations produced by the amount of interstitial atoms and partial replacement of La by smaller rare-earths. It is therefore necessary to establish a clear picture about how atomic structure changes with the help of the X-ray diffraction (XRD) technique. This could be helpful to find out the key factors that govern the magnetic coupling in the compound. Based on this consideration, in this paper we performed a comprehensive study on the atomic structure of the LaFe_{13-x}Si_x compounds with or without interstitial atoms based on the Rietveld analyses of the powder XRD spectra. Effects of Ce substitution for La are also studied for comparison.

2. Experimental procedures

The LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} compound was prepared by arc melting appropriate amounts of starting materials (99.9% or higher in purity) under argon atmosphere (\sim 10 at% excessive La was used to compensate the weight loss during the arc melting). The resultant ingots were first annealed at 1323 K for 50 days in an evacuated quartz tube, to improve both the phase purity and the crystallization, then guenched into liquid nitrogen. We used an intermediate Fe-C alloy, for which the content of C is known, as one of the starting materials for the preparation of the carbon-doped alloys. By adjusting the proportion of Fe-C, the C content was controlled. To get the corresponding hydrides, the $\text{LaFe}_{11.5}\text{Si}_{1.5}$ alloy was first fully hydrogenated in a H_2 atmosphere of 5 MPa at 423 K for \sim 5 h, then annealed in high vacuum (\sim 5 \times 10⁻⁴ Pa) at 523 K for different durations to control the release of hydrogen. The content of hydrogen in the sample was determined by comparing the Curie temperature of $LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{\delta}$ with that of a similar compound $LaFe_{11.44}Si_{1.56}H_{\delta}$, for which δ has been explicitly given [11]. The LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}C $_{\delta}$ carbides for δ = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 were synthesized following the same procedure as that for the LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} compound except for the use of an appropriate amount of Fe-C alloy as starting material. Specimens with partially replaced La by Ce, $La_{1-x}Ce_xFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}$ for x = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and0.4, were also prepared for a comparison study.

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were recorded by a Rigaku D/max-2400 diffractometer with a rotating anode and the Cu $K\alpha$ radiation. A graphite monochromator has been employed in the diffractometer to get ride of fluorescent radiation due to the absorption of Cu $K\alpha$ radiation by Fe. Data were collected over the 2θ range of $20-120^\circ$, with the step size of 0.02° for the 2θ -scan and the duration of 2 s/step for data recording, were analyzed by the Rietveld refinement via the program FULLPROF [23].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. General structural changes

Energy dispersive analysis of X-ray (EDX) indicates that the atomic percentages of La, Fe and Si are 6.04%, 84.47% and 9.47% for the LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} compound, close to the designed compositions (La: 7.14%, Fe: 82.14% and Si: 10.72% for LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}) considering the uncertainty (~3%) of EDX. XRD data indicate that clean single-phase samples were obtained. The diffraction peaks are sharp and can be indexed based on the cubic NaZn13-type structure. The introduction of interstitial hydrogen or carbon causes a lattice expansion as demonstrated by the low-angle shift of XRD peaks, while the sample remains to be single phase. To get the knowledge about atomic structure, a Rietveld refinement of the XRD data was further performed based on the space group Fm3c. It has been evidenced that interstitial atoms do not affect the symmetry of the samples [24]. As revealed by previous work for LaFe_{10.9}Al_{2.1} [24] and LaFe_{11.31}Si_{1.69} [22], there are two different crystal sites for Fe, namely Fe^I and Fe^{II} representing the Fe atoms at the center and on the surface of the (Fe/Si)13 icosahedral cluster, respectively. Si atoms are assumed to randomly replace Fe^{II}. Different from this result, for LaFe₁₁₄Si₁₆ [25], Wang et al. found that Si distributes randomly in both the 8b and 96i sites. In this work, we accepted the conclusion of Wang et al.



Fig. 1. Observed (crosses) and calculated (solid lines) XRD patterns of the LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} and LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H₂ compounds. The lowest curve shows the difference between observed and calculated patterns. Vertical bars show the positions of Bragg reflections.

Table 1

Atomic position of Fe^{II}, Fe–Fe bond length, lattice constant, and weighted profile *R* factor of the compounds LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{δ} (δ =0 and 2) and LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}C_{δ} (δ =0.5).

LaFe _{11.5} Si _{1.5}		LaFe _{11.5} Si _{1.5} H _{2.0}	LaFe _{11.5} Si _{1.5} C _{0.5}
x	0	0	0
у	0.17899(2)	0.17953 (4)	0.17876(2)
Ζ	0.11694(2)	0.11442 (4)	0.11702(2)
a (Å)	11.4685(1)	11.6068(1)	11.5136(1)
B1 (Å)	2.4520(1)	2.4710(5)	2.4599 (2)
B ₂ (Å)	2.6823 (3)	2.6561 (7)	2.6946(3)
B ₃ (Å)	2.5532 (2)	2.5840(6)	2.5606(2)
B4 (Å)	2.4462 (3)	2.5082(7)	2.4564 (5)
B ₅ (Å)	2.5000 (3)	2.4907 (6)	2.5143 (3)
R _{wp} (%)	10.7	15.3	11.5

Fig. 1 shows the observed and calculated XRD patterns for selected samples LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{δ} (δ = 0 and 2). A satisfactory agreement is obtained in the whole 2 θ range investigated. The deduced atomic parameters, Fe–Fe bonds, and weighted profile *R* factors are given in Table 1.



Fig. 2. Lattice constant as a function of the content of interstitial atoms for the LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{δ} hydrides and LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}C_{δ} carbides. Solid and dashed lines are guides for the eye.

L. Jia et al. / Journal of Alloys and Compounds 509 (2011) 5804-5809



Fig. 3. Change of Fe–Fe bond length upon hydrogenating for the compound LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H $_{\delta}$. The arrow marks the expansion of Fe–Fe bond after hydrogen absorption.

Fig. 2 exemplifies the lattice constant of LaFe $_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{\delta}$ as a function of hydrogen content. *a* increases linearly with δ in the ranges from δ = 0 to ~1.2 and from ~1.2 to ~2. There is an abrupt jump of lattice constant near $\delta \approx 1.2$, which is a result of the paramagnetic (PM) to FM transition. The XRD was performed at the ambient temperature, and the data obtained are for the PM phase when δ <1.2 and for the FM phase otherwise ($T_{\rm C}$ > 296 K for δ >1.2). A simple analysis shows the lattice expansion of ~0.044 Å, caused by the magnetic transition regardless of the temperature where the phase transition takes place. In contrast, the relative change of a produced by one percent interstitial atoms is \sim 0.41% for LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H $_{\delta}$, without considering the lattice jump of the magnetic transition, and ${\sim}0.9\%$ for LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}C_{\delta}. The effect of carbon is stronger compared with that of hydrogen, which is reasonable noting the large atomic size of carbon. The atomic radius of C is \sim 1.7 times as large as that of H, while the ratio of the two *a*– δ slopes is ~2.1.

There are totally five kinds of Fe–Fe bonds (B_1-B_5) within the distance of 2.7 Å for the LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} compound, with the bond lengths of $L_{Fe-Fe} = 2.4520$ Å, 2.6823 Å, 2.5532 Å, 2.4462 Å, and 2.5000 Å, respectively (Table 1). The first three bonds are formed by the Fe atoms in the same cluster, while the last two in two neighboring clusters. The shortest Fe–Fe bond is B₄, an inter-cluster bond. These results are consistent with those previously reported [22,25].

Accompanying the introduction of H atoms, Fe-Fe bonds exhibit concomitant variations. We selected two hydrides with $\delta = 0$ and 2, which have obviously different lattice parameters, for further analyses. Fig. 3 shows the variation of Fe-Fe bonds upon hydrogenating. Four of the five Fe-Fe bonds show a tendency to expansion. The largest elongation occurs for the shortest inter-cluster bond, and the relative change is \sim 2.53% as δ increases from 0 to 2. In contrast, the longest bond B₂, one of the intra-cluster bonds, shrinks considerably (-0.97%). The tendency of Fe-Fe bond variation is agreeable with the result obtained in hydride $LaFe_{11,31}Si_{1,69}H_{1,45}$ compound [22]. A simple analysis shows the bond population of B₁:B₂:B₃:B₄:B₅ = 2:1:4:2:2. Therefore, the weighted average of the Fe-Fe bond length can be obtained, and it is found to grow from ~2.5178 Å to ~2.5387 Å for δ from 0 to 2. An interesting result is the considerable reshaping of the (Fe/Si)13 icosahedra upon hydrogenating, as revealed by the asynchrony of bond expansion/contraction.

XRD analysis indicates that clean single-phase samples can be obtained when the content of Ce is below ~0.3 and about 12% impurity phases were found when the content of Ce is 0.4. The replacement of La with Ce results in a lattice contraction, while the symmetry of the sample remains unchanged. The lattice constant decreases from 11.4680 Å for LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} to 11.4498 Å for La_{0.7}Ce_{0.3}Fe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}. Although the effect is weak, the relative change



Fig. 4. Relative change of Fe–Fe bonds resulted by the PM–FM transition (marked by PM–FM) and the lattice expansion of the PM phase due to the incorporation of interstitial hydrogen (marked by H-produced) for the compounds LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H₂.

of the Fe–Fe bond can be reliably determined by the Rietveld analysis of the XRD data. Fascinatingly, increase in Ce content produces essentially the same effects on Fe–Fe bonds as the decrease of interstitial content, though Ce occupies different crystallographic sites from the interstitial atoms.

3.2. Structural change in different processes

It is obvious that the lattice constant of the PM phase undergoes an expansion after the hydrogen absorption, and the $T_{\rm C}$ increases from below to above the ambient temperature. Therefore, Fig. 3 is a combined effect of the lattice expansion of PM phase due to the incorporation of interstitial atoms and the lattice expansion from the PM to the FM phase. The influence of these two processes on Fe–Fe bonds is distinguishable. To study the structure difference of the PM and FM phases of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H₂, two sets of XRD data near the temperatures just below and above $T_{\rm C}$ = 356 K are required. However, a XRD experiment lasting for \sim 3 h at a temperature above 356 K may cause a hydrogen release, which will affect the reliability of the data. According to Fig. 2, the lattice difference of the PM and FM phases is essentially the same when phase transition takes place in the temperature range, for example, from 300 K to 360 K. This implies that the structure difference of the PM and FM phases of $LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_2$ can be approximated by a hydride with a lower Curie temperature, for example, LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{1.2}, which exhibits a Curie temperature of $T_{\rm C} \sim 310$ K.

The experiment was performed on the Rigaku diffractometer with a home-made heater that can provide an environment up to the temperature of \sim 323 K. Two sets of XRD data were recorded at the temperatures of \sim 296 K and \sim 323 K, respectively, and analyzed by the Rietveld technique. The difference of the bond lengths of the PM and FM phases are shown in Fig. 4 (marked by PM-FM). Considering the fact that the thermal expansion is negligible when the temperature interval is small, differences of the Fe-Fe bonds obtained at \sim 296 K and \sim 323 K, respectively, could be exclusively ascribed to magnetic transition. With the bond elongation associated with the PM to FM transition being known, the expected Fe-Fe bond change simply produced by interstitial hydrogen, without magnetic transition, can be obtained for LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H₂ (marked by H-produced). The total bond changes are also shown for comparison. It is interesting that the effects of interstitial atoms and magnetic transition are similar for B₁, B₃ and B₄, in which bond change due to interstitial atoms is \sim 2.4 times as large as that produced by magnetic transition ($\delta = 0 \rightarrow 2$) (Fig. 4). The changes of B₅ bonds can be ascribed mostly from the hydrogenation. The contraction of B₂ bond upon the PM–FM transition is a result different L. Jia et al. / Journal of Alloys and Compounds 509 (2011) 5804-5809



Fig. 5. Bond length (a) and average bond length (b) as functions of lattice constant for La_{0.7}Ce_{0.3}Fe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}, LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{δ} (δ = 0, 1.2, 2) and LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}Co_{0.5} compounds. Results of La_{0.5}Pr_{0.5}Fe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} were also shown for comparison. Solid lines are guides for the eye.

from that of Wang et al. [25] who have studied the atomic structure of LaFe_{11.4}Si_{1.6} based on neutron diffraction, and observed elongations for all of the five Fe–Fe bonds upon the PM–FM transition. The reason for this discrepancy may be the difference of the samples used for the analyses. Different from the hydrogen-free sample used by Wang et al., interstitial hydrogen has distorted the atomic structure of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{1.4} even in the PM state, causing a contraction of the B₂ bond and an expansion of the B₄ bond.

Fig. 5 shows the bond length as a function of lattice parameter. A linear increase of L_{Fe-Fe} with *a* is observed for four of the five bonds, at the rate of 0.1554 for B₁, 0.2346 for B₃, 0.4164 for B₄. In contrast, the longest bond B₂ and inter-cluster bond B₅ exhibit a slight decrease at the rate of -0.1513 and -0.061 with lattice constant. The weighted average of the Fe–Fe bond length is 2.512 Å, and it increases at a rate of ~ 0.175 with increasing *a*. These results are important in the sense that they reveal the one-to-one correspondence between lattice parameter and the Fe–Fe bond, regardless of the origins for the lattice variation: the structure change can caused by the incorporation of interstitial atoms, by magnetic transition, or by the replacement of La by other rare-earths. Based on Fig. 4, changes in Fe–Fe bonds can be readily deduced from those of lattice parameter.

Fig. 6 is a schematic diagram showing the atomic structure of $LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_3$ (La is omitted for clarity). There are eight Fe–Fe bonds, four B_4 bonds and four B_5 bonds, between two adjacent (Fe/Si)_{13} icosahedra (only three B_4 bonds and two B_5 bonds on the top can be seen in the figure). The interstitial site locates at the space caged by four adjacent icosahedra lying in the same plane, encircled by four B_4 bonds. This explains the expansion of B_4 as interstitial atoms are introduced. Change of other bonds is indirect, as a cooperative structure deformation to accommodate the interstitial atoms. Different from hydrogen and carbon, La situates in the center of space caged by eight adjacent icosahedra. It is obvious that replacing La with smaller rare-earths may directly affect the B_4 bond also. Therefore, the effects on structure of introducing small rare-earths and decreasing interstitial content could be exactly the same.



Fig. 6. A schematic diagram for the atomic structure of $LaFe_{13}H_3$ (La is omitted for clarity).

3.3. Magnetic properties

To get a deep insight into the underlying physics of volume effects, it would be helpful to study the relation between *a* and $T_{\rm C}$, which is an approximate measure of the magnetic coupling in compounds. Fig. 7 exemplifies the temperature-dependent magnetization (M) of selected samples La_{0.7}Ce_{0.3}Fe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}, LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_δ $(\delta = 0, 1.5, \text{ and } 2)$ and LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}C_{0.4} measured under the field of 0.05 T. A clear magnetization change is observed around $T_{\rm C}$ (the turning point of the M-T curve), and further analyses of the Mmagnetic field relations reveal the first-order character of the phase transition. The strong effect of interstitial hydrogen can be identified from the obvious shift of $T_{\rm C}$ with δ . $T_{\rm C}$ is ~194 K for LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}, goes up rapidly with the content of hydrogen increases, and arrives \sim 356 K when $\delta \approx$ 2. These results are consistent with those obtained by Fujita et al. in LaFe_{11.44}Si_{1.56}H $_{\delta}$ system [11]. The incorporation of δ = 0.5 carbon increases the Curie temperature by ~46 K. In addition, the substitution of Ce for 30% La leads a decrease of \sim 26 K for $T_{\rm C}$. Different from the changes of $T_{\rm C}$, the saturation magnetization (the magnetic moment of Fe) is almost unaffected by the incorporation of interstitial atoms or Ce [11,26].



Fig. 7. Temperature dependence of magnetization measured under the field of 0.05 T for the La_{0.7}Ce_{0.3}Fe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}, LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{δ} (δ = 0, 1.5, and 2.0) and LaFe_{1.5}Si_{1.5}C_{δ} (δ = 0.4) compounds.

5807

L. Jia et al. / Journal of Alloys and Compounds 509 (2011) 5804-5809



Fig. 8. Curie temperature as a function of lattice constant for the La_{1-x}Ce_xFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} (x=0-0.3) LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{δ} (δ =0-2) and LaFe_{1.5}Si_{1.5}C_{δ} (δ =0-0.5) compounds. Triangle represents the data of La_{0.6}Ce_{0.4}Fe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} compound which deviates from the linearity could be a result of the appearance of impurity phases in this compound. Solid lines are guides for the eye.

Fig. 8 shows the Curie temperature as a function of lattice constant. A linear increase of $T_{\rm C}$ with a at a rate of ~1779 K/Å is observed for LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{δ} and La_{1-x}Ce_xFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}, where $a = a_0$ for $T_{\rm C} < 296$ K and $a = a_0 - \Delta a$ for $T_{\rm C} > 296$ K, a_0 is the lattice constant at the room temperature, $\Delta a \approx 0.044$ Å is the change of lattice constant corresponding to the FM–PM transition. From our previous study, RE–Fe coupling in R_{1-x}La_xFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5} (R=Ce, Pr, Nd) is different, which leads to different slopes of $T_{\rm C}$ -a relations [21]. Meanwhile, the introduction of carbon leads to an increase of $T_{\rm C}$ at a rate of ~1089 K/Å. The tendency is clear: $T_{\rm C}$ increases as lattice expands or, equivalently, Fe–Fe distance increases.

A recent study about the influence of interstitial H on the electron structure for La(Fe_{0.88}Si_{0.12})₁₃H_{1.6} compound by Mössbauer spectroscopy indicates the valence electron transfer from hydrogen to Fe is negligible small, which means the magnetic coupling is closely associated with a volume expansion after hydrogenation [27]. It was also found that high pressure and hydrogenising produce exactly the opposite effect, suggesting the unimportance of the electronic process [28]. According to Givord et al. [19], the Fe-Fe interaction is an important factor affecting the magnetic behavior of RE-Fe compounds. It was found that the magnetic interaction is AFM when the Fe–Fe distance is smaller than \sim 2.45 Å, while ferromagnetic (FM) above \sim 2.45 Å. A remarkable result is the crucial dependence of Fe-Fe exchange on atomic separation: The exchange integral is negative and grows steeply as the Fe-Fe distance approaches 2.45 Å. This actually implies the possibility to modify the Curie temperature by tuning Fe-Fe distance.

In Sm₂Fe₁₇N_{δ} compounds, the negative exchange interactions of the Fe–Fe bonds shorter than 2.45 Å govern the Curie temperature. After introducing interstitial N into Sm₂Fe₁₇ compounds, the exchange integrals of the short Fe–Fe bonds increase due to the lattice expansion, thus it enhances T_C significantly. Considering the fact that the main element of LaFe_{13–x}Si_xH_{δ} and Sm₂Fe₁₇N_{δ} is Fe, similar process may take place in the lattice of LaFe_{13–x}Si_xH_{δ}. It is found that three kinds of bonds elongation after hydrogenising and the largest elongation takes place in B₄, the only bond shorter than 2.45 Å in LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}. On the knowledge of Sm₂Fe₁₇, this expansion may increase the positive exchange integral and B₄ bonds may play an important role in the T_C increasing.

Different from the case of interstitial atoms, increasing Si content in $LaFe_{13-x}Si_x$ (*x* from 1.6 to 2.6) would decrease the lattice volume, while it also leads to an increase of the Curie temperature. It should be emphasized that the bond length of B₁ increases while the lattice contracts with Si content increasing. Therefore, positive exchange interaction enhances as a result of the length of B₁

increasing, which contributes to the magnetic exchange interaction mostly [29]. Although the difference of atomic structure between the LaFe_{13-x}Si_x and its hydrides is obviously, the increases of T_C are all originated from the enhancement of positive exchange integral due to the elongation of Fe–Fe bond.

From above analysis, the Fe–Fe distance affects the $T_{\rm C}$ definitely, but there is a considerable discrepancy between the $T_{\rm C}$ -a relations of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H_{δ} and LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}C_{δ}. The $T_{\rm C}$ -a slope of the former is significantly larger than that of the latter. A possible explanation may be the occurrence of a complex process, for example, charge transferring between Fe and C in the carbides. The presence of minor secondary phase in the carbides can affect the composition of the matrix phase and maybe a reason for the slightly deviation from the linearity of $T_{\rm C}$ -a relation.

4. Summary

The structures of LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H $_{\delta}$ (δ = 0, 1.4, and 2), LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}C $_{\delta}$ $(\delta = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, \text{ and } 0.5)$, and $La_{1-x}Ce_xFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}$ (x = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4) have been investigated based on the Rietveld analyses of powder X-ray diffraction spectra. Special attention has been paid to the relation between structural and magnetic interplay to find out the key factors that govern the magnetic coupling. The incorporation of interstitial atoms is found to cause a lattice expansion of the compounds while leaves the structure symmetry unaffected. Accompanying the lattice expansion, Fe-Fe bond exhibits a concomitant variation. Four of the five Fe-Fe bonds show a tendency to expansion. The largest elongation occurs for the shortest intercluster bond, and the relative change is as large as ${\sim}2.37\%$ as δ increases from 0 to 2 for LaFe_{11.5}Si_{1.5}H $_{\delta}$. In contrast, the longest Fe-Fe bond, one of the intra-cluster bonds, shrinks considerably (-0.53%). This result indicates a reshaping of the $(Fe/Si)_{13}$ icosahedra upon hydrogenating. Similarly effects on Fe-Fe bonds are produced by the paramagnetic to ferromagnetic transition except that the bond variation is small. Fascinatingly, increase in Ce content produces essentially the same effects on Fe-Fe bonds as the decrease of interstitial content, though interstitial atoms occupy different crystallographic sites from rare-earths. There is a universal relation between Fe-Fe bond length and lattice constant, the former varies linearly with the latter, regardless of the origins for the lattice change. Influence of interstitial atoms on magnetic behaviors may be dominated by the change of the shortest Fe-Fe bond.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the National Basic Research of China.

References

- [1] V.K. Pecharsky, K.A. Gschneidner Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 4494.
- [2] F.X. Hu, B.G. Shen, J.R. Sun, Z.H. Cheng, G.H. Rao, X.X. Zhang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 78 (2001) 3675.
- [3] S. Fujieda, A. Fujita, K. Fukamichi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 81 (2002) 1276.
- [4] F.X. Hu, B.G. Shen, J.R. Sun, A.B. Pakhomov, C.Y. Wong, X.X. Zhang, S.Y. Zhang, G.J. Wang, Z.H. Cheng, IEEE Trans. Magn. 37 (2001) 2328.
- [5] F.X. Hu, B.G. Shen, J.R. Sun, Z.H. Cheng, X.X. Zhang, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12 (2000) L691.
- [6] F.X. Hu, B.G. Shen, J.R. Sun, G.J. Wang, Z.H. Cheng, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80 (2002) 826.
- [7] H. Wada, Y. Tanabe, Appl. Phys. Lett. 79 (2001) 3302.
- [8] O. Tegus, E. Bruck, K.H.J. Buschow, F.R. de Boer, Nature 415 (2002) 150.
- [9] V.K. Pecharsky, K.A. Gschneidner Jr., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 200 (1999) 44.
 [10] A. Fujita, S. Fujieda, K. Fukamichi, H. Mitamura, T. Goto, Phys. Rev. B 65 (2001) 014410.
- [11] A. Fujita, S. Fujieda, Y. Hasegawa, K. Fukamichi, Phys. Rev. B 67 (2003) 104416.
- [12] Y.F. Chen, F. Wang, B.G. Shen, J.R. Sun, G.J. Wang, F.X. Hu, Z.H. Cheng, T. Zhu, J. Appl. Phys. 93 (2003) 6981.

L. Jia et al. / Journal of Alloys and Compounds 509 (2011) 5804–5809

- [13] J. Lyubina, O. Gutfleisch, M.D. Kuz'min, M. Richter, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 320 (2008) 2252.
- [14] F.X. Hu, X.L. Qian, J.R. Sun, G.J. Wang, X.X. Zhang, Z.H. Cheng, B.G. Shen, J. Appl. Phys. 92 (2002) 3620.
 [15] A. Fujita, K. Fukamichi, IEEE Trans. Magn. 35 (1999) 3796.
- [16] A. Fujita, Y. Akamatsu, K. Fukamichi, J. Appl. Phys. 85 (1999) 4756.
 [17] T.T.M. Palstra, G.J. Nieuwenhuys, J.A. Mydosh, K.H.J. Buschow, Phys. Rev. B 31
- (1985) 4622.
- [18] F. Wang, J. Zhang, Y.F. Chen, G.J. Wang, J.R. Sun, S.Y. Zhang, B.G. Shen, Phys. Rev. B 69 (2004) 094424. [19] D. Givord, R. Lemaire, IEEE Trans. Magn. 10 (1974) 109.
- [20] Z.W. Li, A.H. Morrish, Phys. Rev. B 55 (1997) 3670.
- [21] L. Jia, J.R. Sun, J. Shen, Q.Y. Dong, F.X. Hu, T.Y. Zhao, B.G. Shen, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92 (2008) 182503.
- [22] M. Rosca, M. Balli, D. Fruchart, D. Gignoux, E.K. Hlil, S. Miraglia, B. Ouladdiaf, P. Wolfers, J. Alloys Compd. 490 (2010) 50.
- [23] J. Rodriguezcarvajal, Physica B 192 (1993) 55. [24] O. Moze, W. Kockelmann, J.P. Liu, F.R. de Boer, K.H.J. Buschow, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 195 (1999) 391.
- [25] F.W. Wang, G.J. Wang, F.X. Hu, A. Kurbakov, B.G. Shen, Z.H. Cheng, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15 (2003) 5269.
- [26] S. Fujieda, A. Fujita, K. Fukamichi, N. Hirano, S. Nagaya, J. Alloys Compd. 408 (2006) 1165.
- [2008] Taylin, S. Fujieda, K. Fukamichi, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 321 (2009) 3553.
 [28] L. Jia, J.R. Sun, F.W. Wang, T.Y. Zhao, H.W. Zhang, B.G. Shen, D.X. Li, S. Nimori, Y. Ren, Q.S. Zeng, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92 (2008) 101904.
 [29] X.B. Liu, Z. Altounian, D.H. Ryan, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15 (2008) 7305 (2003) 7385.